SCHOOL BUILDING COMMITTEE MEETING: FEBRUARY 6, 2019





Types of Public Construction Delivery:

Procurement methods available for School Construction in Massachusetts

- 1. Design-Bid-Build (DBB) under M.G.L Ch. 149
 - Traditional approach
 - Project bid once design documents are 100% complete
 - Lowest "eligible and responsive" bidder awarded project
 - Contract is based on a Lump Sum basis
- 2. Construction Management at Risk (CMR) under M.G.L 149A
 - Enacted under Construction Reform Law of 2004
 - CMR hired early in the design process
 - CMR selection is based on qualifications and proposals
 - Contract is based on a Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP)
 - Open book accounting

Key Differences Between Design Bid Build and Construction Manager at Risk Delivery:

Design-Bid-Build

With D-B-B you are purchasing a building in accordance with a set of plans and specifications

Best suited for less complicated projects that are budget sensitive but are not schedule sensitive and subject to change

2. Construction Management at Risk

With CMR you are hiring a professional service firm which manages the construction of buildings

Best suited for projects that are time sensitive, challenging to define or subject to potential changes and projects that require high construction oversight due to complicated site logistics and phases

DESIGN-BID-BUILD (Ch. 149)

- PROs -

- Design changes easily accommodated prior to start of construction
- Design is complete prior to construction award
- Construction cost is fixed at contract award
- Low bid cost, maximum competition
- Relative ease of implementation
- Maximum Owner control of design/construction
- Using prequalification process limits poor contractors from bidding

- CONs -

- No contractor input in design, planning or value engineering (VE)
- Owner has little to no say in the selection of the <u>individuals</u> who will supervise the project
- Design and construction are sequential, limited ability for early work packages
- Construction cost unknown until contract award, may require redesign and rebid if bids exceed budget
- Limited pool of contractors have DCAM capacity to bid a job of Watertown's size
- Prone to changes and claims which may increase the final project cost

CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT AT RISK (Ch. 149A)

- PROs -

- Ability to prequalify and select the CM and the team of individuals who will be part of the team
- CM participates in the trade subcontractor prequalification process
- CM will review the plans and limit drawing/specification inconsistencies
- Better cost control through early cost estimates and CM ownership of construction budget
- Ability to "fast track;" may start construction before design completion, reducing project schedule
- CM will recruit trade bidders
- CM will provide assistance with project phasing and logistics

- CONs -

- Approval required by the Office of the Inspector General
- Need clear definition of CM and A/E roles
- Cost of CM services including preconstruction (adds 2-3% to initial cost)
- Contingency and allowance level
- CM has little real "risk."

Key Advantages of Construction Manger at Risk:

- Team Approach
 - CM process based on relationships and teamwork
 - CM motivation is project success because future work is tied to references and past experience
 - Cost reimbursement structure and fixed fee promotes CM as advocate of Owner
- Cost Factors
 - CM involvement in pre construction to assist design team in maintaining budget and optimizing value/constructability
 - Fee set at time of contract award
 - Continuous cost feedback and control
 - Open book accounting and purchasing
 - Ability to obtain GMP earlier in the process

Key Advantages of Construction Manger at Risk:

- Schedule Consideration
 - CM provides input on planning and logistics integration into design
 - Opportunity for pre-purchase of equipment or other long lead items
 - Early CM engagement allows fast track approach and early bid packages
- Subcontracting
 - CM leads subcontractor bidding and manages process
 - CM involvement in Trade Subcontractor prequalification
 - Trade Subcontractors know the CM before submitting qualifications/bids
 - Early involvement and knowledge helps mitigate gaps in purchase scope
 - Ability for CM to create specific scopes of work for subcontractors as basis of bidding

Key Advantages of Construction Manger at Risk:

- Quality
 - CM selection process is based on qualifications, experience, proposed team and success on past projects
 - Ability to interview and meet proposed team through the selection process prior to award of contract
 - CM early involvement in project leads to greater understanding of complex logistics and design details
 - Review of constructability during design phase utilizes builder's knowledge of means and methods and subcontractor abilities to ensure a design that will result in a "buildable" high quality product
 - Confirmation of existing conditions and provide exploratory services

Steps for Approval of CMR Delivery Method through Office of Inspector General:

- Obtain authorization from the governing body for the use of CMR method
- Prepare application including the following information:
 - Project description and determined that the use of construction management at risk is appropriate for the building project
 - Plan and procedures on how the project will be managed
 - Reporting and record keeping systems
 - Project organization chart
- Submit the Application to the Inspector General for consideration.
- IG has 60 days from receipt of complete application to approve or deny request

Steps for Prequalification and Selection of Construction Manger at Risk:

- Establish prequalification committee (OPM, Designer, at least two public representatives)
- Prepare and advertise RFQ
- Evaluate responses and prequalify at least three CMs
- Establish a selection committee (can be same as prequalification committee)
- Prepare RFP and distribute to prequalified firms
- Receive, evaluate and rank proposals (interviews are permitted if conducted with all proposers)
- Negotiate non-fee terms with selected proposer and award contract

Recent Listings of Construction Manger at Risk approvals by OIG:

- 10/11/18 Bristol County Agricultural School, District Est'd Value \$73.2m
- 10/10/18 Framingham Fuller Middle School Est'd Value \$98.2m
- 08/14/18 Lincoln Elementary School Est'd Value \$93m
- 08/10/18 Shrewsbury Beal Elementary School Est'd Value \$94.4m
- 08/10/18 Danvers Smith elementary School Est'd Value \$56m
- 07/02/18 Holyoke HB Lawrence Middle School Est'd Value \$59.3m
- 04/11/18 Fall River Durfee High School Est'd Value \$263.5m
- 03/12/18 Belmont High School Est'd Value \$310.8m
- 01/12/18 Pentucket Regional MS/HS Est'd Value \$155.5m
- 01/11/18 Springfield Brightwood/Lincoln Elementary School Est'd Value \$78m
- 01/10/18 Brookline HS Project A Est'd Value \$75.2m
- 01/10/18 Brookline HS Project B Est'd Value \$74.4m